# Hippocampal volume mediates the association between depression and cognitive function differently by sex Nancy E. Ortega, BA<sup>1</sup>, Vahan Aslanyan, BA<sup>2</sup>, Judy Pa, PhD<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Neuroscience Graduate Program, University of California San Diego, CA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Population and Public Health Sciences, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurosciences, Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study (ADCS), University of California San Diego, CA, USA

Take Home Message: Depression symptom severity influences cognition via hippocampal and amygdalar volumes only in women. These findings suggest sex-specific neural pathways for the relationship between depression and cognition.

#### troduction

Sex differences are prominent features of both depression and Alzheimer's disease, with women showing an increased lifetime risk relative to men. However, the neural mechanisms that explain sex differences in the relationship between depression and cognition are poorly understood. The goal of this study was to evaluate whether smaller brain volumes mediate the relationship between higher depression symptom severity and poorer cognition, and whether this association differed by sex.

### 1ethods

#### **UK Biobank Cohort**

- Age at recruitment 40 to 69 years Inclusion criteria: underwent a brain MRI scan, completed the online Patient Health Questionnaire
- (PHQ), and completed one of the online cognitive measures
  - Fluid Intelligence: verbal and numeric reasoning
  - *Numeric Memory: working memory*
  - Pairs Matching: visual declarative memory
  - Symbol Digit Substitution: processing speed
- Exclusion criteria: any dementia diagnosis

Subject demographics. Sex differences were evaluated by Student's t-test for continuous Table 1 variables and with Chi-Square test for categorical variables.

	Women ( <i>N=14,953</i> )	Men ( <i>N=12,532</i> )	Total ( <i>N=27,485</i> )	P-1
Demographics				
Age at MRI scan [Mean ± SD]	63.4 ± 7.36	65.0 ± 7.59	$64.1 \pm 7.51$	<0
Ethnicity [% White]	97.3%	97.1%	97.2%	0
Townsend Deprivation Index [Mean $\pm$ SD]	-1.88 ± 2.72	$-1.97 \pm 2.68$	$-1.92 \pm 2.71$	0
Depression Severity Score [Mean ± SD]	$2.91 \pm 3.70$	2.23 ± 3.28	$2.60 \pm 3.53$	<0
Depression Diagnosis				
Minimal depression	78.6%	84.0%	81.0%	<0
Mild depression	15.2%	12.0%	13.7%	
Moderate depression	4.2%	2.7%	3.5%	
Moderately severe depression	1.4%	1.0%	1.2%	
Severe	0.6%	0.4%	0.5%	
Brain Measures				
Total Brain Volume – not normalized (mm <sup>3</sup> ) [Mean $\pm$ SD]	1,110,000 ± 89,700	1,230,000 ± 98,500	$1,160,000 \pm 110,000$	<0
Total Brain Volume – normalized (mm <sup>3</sup> ) [Mean $\pm$ SD]	$1,510,000 \pm 72,600$	1,480,000 ± 70,600	1,490,000 ± 72,900	<0
Hippocampal volume (mm <sup>3</sup> ) [Mean ± SD]	4,150 ± 375	4,440 ± 411	4,280 ± 417	<0
Amygdalar volume (mm <sup>3</sup> ) [Mean ± SD]	1,900 ± 220	2,040 ± 241	1,960 ± 240	<0
Cognitive Measures				
Fluid Intelligence (n = 17,677) [Mean ± SD]	$6.58 \pm 1.98$	$6.82 \pm 2.08$	$6.69 \pm 2.03$	<0
Numeric Memory (n = 16,784) [Mean ± SD]	$6.94 \pm 1.45$	$7.13 \pm 1.40$	$7.03 \pm 1.43$	<0
Pairs Matching (n = 17,192) [Mean ± SD]	$0.666 \pm 1.19$	$0.618 \pm 1.21$	$0.644 \pm 1.20$	0.

 $20.5 \pm 5.09$ 

#### **Statistical Analyses**

Causal Mediation Models (Figure 1) were analyzed using the *mediation* package in R.

Symbol Digit Substitution (n = 17,499) [Mean ± SD]

- Analyses on the aggregated sample (both men and women) were conducted first. Mediation models that were significant were then run on the sex-stratified samples.
- The significance of indirect effects was evaluated using bootstrapping procedures.



## UC San Diego Health ADOS

Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study UC San Diego







**NEUROSCIENCES** GRADUATE PROGRAM where action meets potential

